File No. 209 of 1929.

19 .

Collection No.

11

3 | Ru
4.6.47

Office of the Resident

in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Pros. Serial Nos. 1-24.

Subject.

REVISION OF THE PAY OF THE CIVIL & MILITARY/Police FORCE AND THE OFFICE STAFF.

Previous References.	**Later References.**
	554 of 1931.

No 494/A/30
30/4/30.

In continuation of my letter No. 494/A/30 dated 4-4-1930 I ~~[illegible]~~ give further details of the cases in which I recommend increments in advance.

2. (A) Inspector Mr. K. V. Srinivas has been on his present pay since 1-8-1924 and if the incremental system had been in force would now be drawing 300 and would draw Rs. 305 in August next. On reconsideration of his case I find I cannot recommend him for more than 5 increments in advance, though if only given 5 he can never draw the maximum pension, as he obviously should not receive more than he would have earned had the incremental system been introduced earlier.

(b) Inspector Mr. F. J. Mathias also has been on his present pay since 1-8-1924 and may be given 5 advance increments.

(c) Sub Inspector Wale and Sub Inspector M. Abdul Karim have been already referred to in my letter No 261-A-30 dated 18-2-1930.

Separately

(d) Sub Inspector L. Guru Rao and S. I. T. Venkat Rao have been on their present pay since 1-8-25 and I have only recommended 2 advance increments for each of them.

(e.) Sergeants Waskett and Thompson have been on their present pay since 1-8-1920 and Sergeant Irish since 1-4-22. Sergeant Waskett has been therefore recommended for 10 increments in advance as he is due to retire in 4 years. The other two have been recommended for 3 and 5 respectively as they are much younger.

(f) The Accountant is recommended for 2 increments He has drawn his present pay of 90 since 17-3-1928.

(g) Constables 358, 33, 191, 252 and 296 have been on their present pay since 1-8-1920. Constable 288 has been on his present pay since 1-6-28. He joined rather late. Constable 279 has been on his present pay since 14-12-27. He also enlisted comparatively late. Constable 312 has been on his present pay since 1-12-24 Constable 186 has been on his present pay since 1-8-1920. All the rest have been on their present pay for between 5 and 10 years except constable 166 who also enlisted when overage.

All the constables who enlisted when overage are ex-sepoys (except P. C. 288) and P. C. 166 served during the war He therefore deserves special consideration.

(h) In addition to the above I recommend as a special case the grant of 3 increments in advance to S. I. ~~Xxxxxx~~ Sarvotham Rao who has been on his present pay of Rs. 95 since 1-11-1926. I recommend this owing to his exceptionally successful career. He passed out first from the Police Training School Vellore in October 1921 and since then has earned 26 rewards and good service entries for meritorious work. I recommend the grant of 3 advance increments to him making his pay 107.

4677
1/5/30
209/29.

J. Hallinan
30/4/30
COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

Submitted for orders.

T. N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
2-5-1930

(From page 72)

Secretary.

Pl. work out what each would be drawing if our present revised rates had been introduced in 1922 when the Madras Govt. Scale, which our present revised rates were based, was introduced in Madras. PL 3.5.30

Para 76 ante.

Two comparative statements are submitted in which the information required by the Hon'ble the Resident is given.

Submitted.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
12-5-1930

THE PAY AND ACCOUNT OFFICE
Date MAY 1930
Current No. ..
File Case No ..

Resident.

Will P and A.O. kindly state whether there is any objection to the Proposals being sanctioned by the Resident and whether he has observations to offer on the proposals themselves. I am anxious that everything that c an reasonably be done to secure the well-being and contentment of the Police Force shd be done.

13.5.30

To

The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, U.O.

no 3 753
Sent
14/5/30

P. T. O

"A proposal to grant an increment of progressive pay in advance of the due date should always be scrutinised with special jealousy. It is contrary to the principle of progressive pay to grant an increment before it is due, and such a grant should not be recommended, or allowed, excepting under circumstances which would justify a personal allowance to an officer whose pay is fixed, - that is to say, seldom if ever."

The reasons given by the Commissioner for recommending advance increments are generally that the officers concerned may be enabled to get half of the maximum pay of their appointments when they retire and that they have put in long service and done good work. It is doubtful whether these reasons can be considered sufficient for the grant of the proposed increments. The graded system has been abolished, on the recommendation of the Resident, with a view to enable the Police Officers and men to obtain promotion irrespective of the occurrence of vacancies. It would appear therefore that reasons other than the above should exist for giving premature increments.

(c) In calculating the number of increments, the fact that, in Madras, the Police got revision from earlier dates - in 1922 in most cases - has been taken into account which practically means that retrospective effect will be given to the sanction of the Government of India when the premature increments are sanctioned. Again , it has to be remembered that the Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in Bangalore are better off than those in Madras not only because the graded system has been abolished but because their pay is higher by Rs.50/- and Rs. 25/-, respectively, than the Madras rates.

(d) I give below, in the case of Inspectors, Sub-Inspectors and Sergeants, a statement showing the pay before and after revision, the number of increments proposed and

they can respectively earn are given below:-

	MADRAS.		Bangalore
INSPECTORS.			
1st class	Rs.275	plus Presidency allowance of Rs.50/- per mensem not counting for pension or leave salary.	Inspectors Bangalore 200-10-300-5-325.
2nd class	Rs.225		
3rd class	Rs.175		
Maximum pension that can be earned.	Rs.137-8-0		Maximum pension that a Bangalore Inspector can earn Rs.162-8-0
SUB-INSPECTORS.			
5 Grades on	125	~~Madras~~ plus Presidency allowance of Rs.25/- per mensem not counting for pension or leave salary.	Sub-Inspectors Bangalore. 75-4-115-5-150.
	110		
	100		
	75		
	60		
Maximum pension that can be earned	Rs.62-8-0		Maximum pension that a Bangalore Sub-Inspector can earn Rs.75-0-0.

It will be seen from the above that the scales of pay in Bangalore are higher than in Madras as what is treated as a Presidency allowance in Madras is included in pay in Bangalore, and consequently the pension of Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors retiring from Bangalore is greater than what can be earned by Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in Madras.

It will ~~be~~ also be seen that except Mr. Abdul Karim all other Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors recommended for special increments will get more than the maximum pension that can be earned in Madras, even without special increments. It will be noticed also that in 5 cases of out of the 11 practically the same amounts of pensions are earned with the rates of pay already ordered to be given under old Fundamental Rule 22 (a) and without the aid of special increments.

The Resident has proposed to go up to the Government of India to give the Police Force pay under the old Fundamental Rule 22, which in effect means granting one advance increment in every case. I beg to suggest, in view of the ~~above~~ considerations referred to in the previous paragraphs, that the Government of India may at the same time be asked

asked to give further special treatment in any cases considered deserving by the Hon'ble the Resident.

Ch

S Krishnaswamy
2 6 30

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O. No. 56 dated the 2nd June 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
5 JUNE 1930
U.O. 5881
Regd. No.....
File No. 207/1929

90)

From the above notes it is clear that the scales of pay in Bangalore are higher than in Madras. It appears that there are no special reasons for recommending to the Government of India the advance increments proposed by the ------- Commissioner of Police.

91)

The Government of India may perhaps be addressed to sanction one advance increment in every case under the old Fundamental Rule 22 as stated in para 4 of S.N. 7.

recip d.

If it is intended to recommend special advance increments to officers and men referred to in P.U.C., the number of increments which the Hon'ble the Resident proposes in each case to recommend/may kindly be stated to enable this office to calculate the extra expenditure involved by the proposal.

Submitted for orders.

T. N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
6-6-1930.

(From item 99)

Resident.

(Camp.) S.N. 9. Letter No. 756/A/30, dated the 10th June, 1930, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

In the P.U.C. the Commissioner of Police recommends that 5 sergeants may be given one increment each in ------ advance

increments would get over 90 Rs p.m.
I regard it as essential to give the
19 constables in my list at least a
second advance increment making their pay
26. There was considerable dissatisfaction
in the force owing to the fact that junior
constables got only Rs one extra while junior
members (recruits) got 2 rupees. The
19 constables selected are all picked. The
only constable who might be considered might be
omitted is 166 who has no
comparatively short service.
The cost of these modified proposals is very
small. It amounts to:-

	Per annum
3 Sub Inspectors	10
S.I. Wale	8
S.I. Abdul Kamin	4
S.I. Saravanna Rao	
Serjants	
Sgt Wastell — — —	6
Constables	18
18 constables	46
	12
Per annum	552

which could easily be covered by
re appropriation.

19/6

OFFICE OF THE RECIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
20 JUNE 1930
Regd. No. 6327
File. No. 209-29

9/1,

The modified proposals of the Commissioner of Police may perhaps be accepted and recommended to the Government India for sanction.

The Commissioner of Police may perhaps be asked to furnish a statement showing the extra cost involved by these proposals. Draft U.O. note is submitted for approval.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar
23-6-1930.

As amended. Then please
draft a U.O. to the S.-off. I. on lines
indicated in flag.
W 5.7.30

Secretary.

File regarding increments for the Police should be dealt with urgently.

Id. G.L.
28-7-

Please see paras 100 to 102 of the notes.

Draft reminder to the Commissioner of Police is ~~submitted~~ submitted for approval.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
29-7-1930.

Secretary.

30.7.30

Issued No. 6089, dated the 1st August, 1930.

Memo No. 885/A/30, dated the 1st August, 1930, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

The receipt of the statement from the Pay and Accounts Officer may be awaited.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
9-8-1930

VP 11/8

Assistant Secretary.



Office of the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

U. O. Note.

Reference your U.O. note dated the 19th June, 1930, regarding the grant of advance increments to certain personnel of the Police Force.

2. It is requested that the enclosed statement may kindly be filled in and returned to this Residency through the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

3. If necessary, a reappropriation statement showing how it is proposed to meet the additional expenditure involved by these proposals should be submitted at the same time through the Pay and Accounts Officer.

Major,
Secretary to the Resident.

U.O.No. 541
Dated 8th July, 1930.

To the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, U/O.

Copy with enclosure to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, with the request that he will kindly forward the statements as soon as possible after receipt as the matter is being referred to the Government of India.

ASB/5.7.

Audit

Comptr

8.7.30

No 8857/A/30 encore.
16/7/30.

Returned through the Pay & Accounts Officer, Bangalore, with the statement duly filled in. As already intimated in

my letter No. 261/A/30 dated 9-7-1930, it is not possible for me at this stage to anticipate any savings in the Police Budget for 1930-31.

16c/25

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

The statement is returned with the following remarks for favour of early return with replies.

Budget Estimate 1930-31.

The sum of Rs.1,800/- under "Temporary Establishment" is intended to meet the pay of the 3 supernumerary Sub-Inspector's to be trained in the Police Training School at Vellore for filling up prospective vacancies in the Civil & Military Station Police Force. In the statement it is shown as pertaining to Fire Brigade Staff. Necessary corrections may be made.

Anticipated expenditure during 1930-31 including the grant of 1 advance increment.

Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors.	As given in the statement.	As worked out by this office.
District Executive Force.	34158	31279
Railway Police.	7416	7389
Head Constables Constables & Sergeants.		*
District Executive Force.	139656	171233
Railway Police.	33840	

* Sergeants. D.E.F. 7440
R.P. 1800
H.C's. D.E.F. 29047
R.P. 4197
Constables. D.E.F. & R.P. 127783.
Duty allowance etc., 966
171233

Please furnish details showing how your figures have been arrived at. Please also furnish information as to the number of Constables in the different scales of pay working in the Railway Police. The working sheet of this office is attached for reference and return.

Extra expenditure involved by the proposal to grant special advance increments.

In the statement the extra expenditure due to the grant of advance increments is given as Rs.22 plus 24 per mensem for Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors and Constables. These figures are not susceptible of check in this office in the absence of information regarding the officers to whom advance increments are to be granted, the numbers of Constables and the number of advance increments proposed to be given.

Extra expenditure involved by the grant of personal pay to Sub-Inspectors Wale and Abdul Kareem.

Information may kindly be furnished as to the date from which personal pay is proposed to be given.

22.7.30

The Commissioner of Police, Bangalore.
P.B. U.O. No.90, dated the 22nd July 1930.

Re 885/A/30 en 6 29/7/30.

Returned.

1. Necessary correction has been made. The working sheet of this office showing the details is herewith sent for reference.

In the Railway Police, there are at present:-

27 constables drawing Rs. 25/- p.m.
45 " " " 23/- "
28 " " " 22/- "

6 on 20/- 22 on 21

The particulars regarding advance increments proposed to be given are based on my U.O. note to the Secretary to the Resident dated 19th June 1930 (Copy enclosed) as detailed below:-

3 Sub Inspectors.

S. I. Wale	----	Rs. 10/- p.m.
" Abdul Kareem		" 8/- "
" Sarvothama Rao		" 4/- "

1 Sergeant.

Sergeant Waskett		" 6/- "

Constables.

18 constables		" 18/- "

Sub Inspectors Abdul Kareem and Vale have to be given personal pay from 18-1-1926 - vide copy of my letter to Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident dated 18-2-30 herewith enclosed.

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

THE PAY AND ACCO...

The Pay & Accounts Offi
Bangalore.

1165

Forwarded.

A statement showing the additional allotment required. Enclosed. Details showing how the figures shown in the statement have been arrived at are also attached.

The main reasons for the variation between the Commissioner's figures and the figures of this office are given in the last column of the statement.

Regarding the provision for personal pay to Messrs Wale and Abdul Karim, the circumstances in which retrospective effect from 18-1-1926 is recommended may be explained in the covering letter forwarding the proposals to the Government of India.

The extra cost during 1930-31 involved by the proposal is Rs.4,227/-.

S Ramaswamy
6 8 30

Action taken in file 16 C 1925 T

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
11 AUG. 1930
Regd. No. 8127
File No.

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore
U.O. P.B. No.97, dated the 5th August 1930.

USP

9/8/30

Anticipated expenditure during 1930-31 including the grant of one advance increment.

	D.E.F.		Amount required.	
Inspectors.	325 × 2 × 12		7800	
	251 × 1 + 260 × 11		3110	
			------	10910
Sub-Inspector's				
C. Rajagopala Chetty.	150 × 12		1800	
R. Wale L. Guru Rao	125 × 3 × 11	= 4125	4485	
T. Venkat Rao	120 × 3	= 360		
Special pay to C.I.D. Sub-Inspectors.		30 × 12.	360	
B. Narasimah on leave on average pay from 8-3-30 for 15 months on half average pay.	120 × 4 60 × 8 60 × 7 31	480 480 14	974	
S.L. Vinayagam	103 20 × 11		1353	
Abdul Karim Sarvotham Rao Shabuddin Khan Appachoo C.V. Krishnaswamy Naidu	95 × 5 × 1 99 × 5 × 11	475 5445	5920	
B. Venkatram Naidu Date of increment 25-4-1930	91 × 2 8 × 6 30 99 × 10	182 = 2 990	1174	
Suboo Mudaliar	83 × 1 87 × 11	83 957	1040	
S.L. Vythanathan T. Govindarajalu Selvaraj Mohan Ahmed Khan Kalyan Singh	50 × 6 × 6 75 × 6 × 6	1800 2700	4500	
Anugraham	50 × 26 / 31	= 42	492	
	50 × 9	= 450		

		Total.	------	22098
				33008
	Railway Police.			
Inspectors.	250 × 1 260 × 11	250 2860	3110 ~~3010~~	
Sub-Inspector's.				
J.P. Moreira	150 × 12		1800	
B. Subbaraya Sastri.	120 × 1 125 × 11	120 1375	1495	

P.T.O.

		D.E.F.	Amount required.	
S. Muthu Pillai	75 × 2	150		
	8 × 13	173	983	
	30			
	83 × 10	830		
				7388.
Sergeants, Head Constables and Constables.				
Sergeants.	150 × 4 × 12 = 150 × 48		7200	
	F.E. allowance 20 × 12		240	7440
Head Constables	9 × 42 × 11 =	4158		
	23 × 38 × 11 =	9614		
	34 × 35 × 11 =	13090		
	9 × 40 × 1 =	360		
	23 × 35 × 1 =	805		
	34 × 30 × 1 =	1020		29047
	Duty allowance to 3 Head Constables @ Rs 7/- = 7 × 3 × 12		252	
	Good conduct allowance to 4 Head Constables @ Rs 10/- = 4 × 10 × 12 = 480			732
Constables.				
	55 × 25 × 11 =	15125		
	55 × 24 × 1 =	1320		
	1 × 22 × 12 =	264		
	105 × 23 × 11 =	26565		
	105 × 22 × 1 =	2310		
	85 × 21 × 1 =	1785		
	127 × 20 × 1 =	2540		
	212 × 22 × 11 =	51304		101213
	Duty allowance to 4 constables @ Rs 3/- each 12 × 12		144	
	Drill instructors allowance 7 ½ × 12		90	234
			D.E.F.	138666
	Railway Police.			
Sergeants.	150 × 12			1800
Head Constables	40 × 4 × 1 =	160		
	42 × 4 × 11 =	1848		
	3 × 35 × 1 =	105		
	3 × 38 × 11 =	1254		
	2 × 30 × 1 =	60		
	2 × 35 × 11 =	770		4197
Constables.	27 × 25 × 11 =	7425		
	27 × 24 × 1 =	648		
	45 × 23 × 11 =	11385		
	45 × 23 × 1 =	1035		
	22 × 1 × 21 =	462		
	6 × 20 × 1 =	120		
	28 × 22 × 11 =	6776		27851
			Railway Police.	33848

P.T.O.

Original in F. 16C 1925.

Extract from U.O. No. P.B. 103, dated the 11th August, 1930, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Please see this office U.O. P.B. 97, dated the 5th ---- August, 1930, forwarding a statement working out the extra cost involved by the grant of advance increments, etc., to certain police officers. I suggested therein that the ---- reasons for granting to Messrs Wale and Abdul Karim personal pay with retrospective effect from 18-1-1926 might be --- explained to the Government of India, as I understood from the Secretary's endorsement to me, U.O. No. 5411, dated 8th July, 1930, that all the items included in the statement of extra cost, Viz., application of old Fundamental Rule 22, grant of special advance increments, and grant of personal allowance to the two Sub-Inspectors, were proposed to be referred to the Government of India. From the ----- present reference, however, it appears that the question of personal pay is to be dealt with by the Hon'ble the Resident himself and a reappropriation statement to cover the extra cost has also been sent. In the circumstances, the net additional provision required for the grant of one advance increment (due to the application of old Fundamental Rule 22) and special advance increments would be only Rs. 2852/- and not Rs. 4,227/- as already reported.

As suggested in this office U.O. No. P.B. 97, dated 5-8-30.	4,227
Less personal pay to Messrs Abdul Karim and Wale.	1,375
Net.	2,852

* * * *

(117,

Pages 4 to 9 of the draft have been retyped and ------ submitted.

(From para 11.5)

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
20-8-1930

Secretary.

Re-check & re-fair pp 6+7.

24.8.30

Pages 6 and 7 have been retyped . The draft has been checked. Submitted.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
21-8-1930.

May come?

22.8.30.

Secretary.

22/8

22.8

S.N. 10.

Issued No. 6644, dated the 25th August, 1930.

S.N.11. P.U.B I — Letter dated the 30th August, 1930, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore

S.N.12 P.U.B II — Telephone Message dated the 30th August, 1930, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

S.N.13 P.U.B III — U.O.No.P.B.114, dated the 29th August, 1930 from Mr. S. Kuppuswami Naidu, Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore

---

Slip I

Please see S.N.6 in which the Commissioner of Police recommended that all sergeants, all constables and all members of the Ministerial staff be granted one increment in advance and that the Pay and Accounts Officer be instructed to pass the increments with effect from the 1st April 1930.

Slip (J) (1) vide notes thereon. Slip F

The order passed on this letter is at S.N.7 in which the Hon'ble the Resident directed the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to make arrangements to bring the personnel of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station on to the revised rates of pay in accordance with the old Fundamental Rule 22. The order is very clear and does not include the ministerial establishment.

I mean the whole personnel whether ministerial or not. T.9.30

S.N.13. P.U.B III

The Pay and Accounts Officer states that by oversight this order was applied to the office establishment also and pay according to the old Fundamental Rule 22 was wrongly passed in certain cases. He adds that the overpayments made for the months of April, 1930 to July, 1930 will be adjusted by recovering them in three instalments from future pay bills.

S.N.10. (H)

In letter No.6644, dated the 25th August, 1930 the

Government

Government of India were accordingly addressed in the matter of the grant of one advance increment etc., to Police Force. From the above it is clear that there is no mistake in the letter addressed to the Government of India.

The Pay and Accounts Officer cannot be asked to interpret the Resident's Order at S.N.7 to include office establishment also as suggested by the Commissioner of Police in S.N.11.

If it was the intention of the Hon'ble the Resident to grant one advance increments to the ministerial establishment also, the Commissioner of Police may perhaps be asked to submit a statement, through the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, showing the extra cost involved by his proposal. On receipt of the statement the Government of India may be addressed in continuation of S.N.10.

From S.N.7 it appears that this was not the intention.

Submitted for orders.

(From para 120)

T. N. Thangavelu
Madurai.
1 - 9 — 1930.

The intention was clearly that the whole personnel, including office establishment, should be treated under Rule 22, the 2nd rule, as the new one was unknown when the proposals were made. Pl. inform P & A.O. at once on the telephone

1.9.30

~~Draft express letter is submitted for~~

approval

The Pay and Accounts Office want the order of the Secretary in writing. Draft express letter is therefore submitted for approval, together with an endorsement to the Commissioner of Police. On receipt of the reply from the Commissioner of Police, the Government of India will be addressed in the matter.

T.N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
1-9-1930.

Assistant Secretary.

S.N. 14. Issued No. 6867, dated the 1st September, 1930.

S.N.15. P.U.8. Letter No.1165/A/30, dated the 3rd September, 1930 from the Commissioner of Police, C & M Station, Bangalore.

Memo. No.P.B.2701 dated the 6th September, 1930, from Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Draft letter to the Government of India is submitted for approval.

T.N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
18-9-1930.

19.9.30

Secretary.

21.9

S.N. 16. Issued No. 7591, dated the 27th September, 1930.

S.N. 17 and 18.

Memoranda Nos. F. 13(17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March, 1930, from the Government of India in the Foreign and Political Department.

Please see S.Nos. 4, 5 10 and 16.

Two draft endorsements are submitted for approval.

T.N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
11-12-1930.

Assistant Secretary.

17.12.30

S.N. 19 and 20.

Issued Nos. 9869 & 9870, dated the 29th December, 1930

Submitted.

Resident.

S.N. 21.

Telephone Message, dated the 8th January, 1931, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Draft endorsement is submitted for approval.

T.N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
9-1-31.

Secretary.

10.1.31

S.N. 22.

Issued No. 216, dated the 13th January, 1931.

Telephone Message dated the 12th January, 1931, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

A reply was sent on the 13th January, 1931.-Vide S.N. 22. The P.U.C. may be filed.

T.N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
16-1-1931.

Assistant Secretary.

19/1/31

S.N. 6. Letter, dated the 24th May, 1930, from the Commissione[r]
of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

---

returned on 2/6/30 AS

Have attached letter & item as desired return the P & A.O's original letter & Rule 22 & Comm of Police after keeping a copy of the letter.

GL
27.5.30

S.N. 7. Issued No. 4322, dated the 27th May, 1930.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Secretary to the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Pay & Accounts Officer,
Bangalore.

MESSAGE.

The Resident desires to see you at 11-30 A.M. tomorrow (27th May) with your papers about the revision of Police of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

OC

SECRETARY.

Phoned 4.47 P.M.

26/5

S.N. 23. P.U.6 I — U.O. No. P.B. 4667, dated the 25th February, 1931, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

S.N. 24. P.U.6 II — D.O. letter No. 316/A/31, dated the 7th March, 1931, from Mr. E.H. Sullivan, Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Please see P.U.C. I. in which the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, has requested the Government of India Un-Officially to pass orders sanctioning one advance increment to the Police Force under Fundamental Rule 27 instead of under old Fundamental Rule 22.

* 22 (a) B

The supplemental bills presented by Mr. Sullivan have not been passed by the Pay and Accounts Officer, who has suggested that the revised orders of the Government of India may be awaited. The Commissioner of Police now requests that instructions may kindly be issued to the Pay and Accounts Officer to pass the bills immediately.

P.U.6 II

* P.U.6 I

A copy of the Pay and Accounts Officer's U.O. note to the Government of India may perhaps be sent to the Commissioner of Police, with the intimation that instruct will be issued to the Pay and Accounts Officer to pass th supplemental bills in question, if no revised orders are received from the Government of India by the 20th March, 1931. Submitted for orders.

T. N. Thangavelu
Secretary.
9-3-1931.

This amount must be paid before the end of the year & there is little hope of your receiving an answer from the GOI before March 31st. Unless you see any serious objection I propose to issue the necessary sanction.

P.U.

Will you kindly reply immediately

G. B.

P & Acct. Office.

Issued W.O.No. 1920, dated 11th 16th March 1931

I think I had better state the following points in the case before replying to the above note:-

1. Proposals were made for the revision of pay of the Police with effect from 1st March 1930 - Vide Resident's letter to the Government of India, Foreign & Political Department No.8485, dated 7-10-1929.

Government of India however sanctioned them to take effect from 1-4-1930 - Vide Government of India, Foreign and Political Department Memo F-13 (17) - E-29, dated 22-3-30.

S.N.2 (H)

S.N.4 (I)

2. Fundamental Rule 22, which permitted the next higher stage of pay being fixed when an officer was transfered from one scale to another, was amended with effect from 18th March 1930, so as to make the fixation at the next stage generally inadmissible.

Reply d.

3. The Resident gave me special orders to bring the personnel of the Police on to the revised rates of pay in accordance with the old Fundamental Rule 22, as that was the intention when the proposals for the revision of pay were made to the Government of India. Vide Resident's letter to the Pay & Accounts Officer No.4322/209-1929, dated 27-5-1930.

S.N.7 (N)

Accordingly ~~in~~ the next higher stages of pay were given from 1st April 1930.

4. The Resident reported to the Government of India this special fixation of pay under the old Fundamental Rule 22 with his letter No.6644/209-1929, dated 25-8-30 and 7591/209-1929, dated 27-9-1930, and requested that the Resident's action may be approved of.

5. To give their approval to the application of the old Fundamental Rule 22, the Government of India ante-dated their order No.F-13 (17)-E/29, dated 23-3-1930 - Vide their memo No.F (13) 17-E-29, dated 1-12-1930. In the ante-dated order, they gave effect to the revision of the pay of the Police from 1-3-1930, apparently to get over the difficulty of applying the new Fundamental Rule 22 which took effect from 18-3-1930.

It appeared from the correspondence leading upto the amendment of Fundamental Rule 22 that the above sanction was not in order. For the reasons for this view, please see my U.O. No.P.B.267, dated 12/25-2-1931 to the Government of India, copy communicated to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident with endorsement No.4667, dated 25-2-1931. Government of India were therefore advised to issue orders under Fundamental Rule 27.

I received the case back yesterday from the Finance Department, informing me that my view was not correct. But as I had issued the reference to the Government of India with the concurrence of the Audit Officer, Bangalore, I have sent it to the Audit Officer to see and let me know if the sanction can be accepted.

If the Audit Officer accepts the sanction, I can pay the arrears for March 1930 at once. I am suggesting to the Audit Officer to kindly send me his reply in the course of this week.

About funds, I may say that provision was made in the budget for 1930-31 for the revised pay of the Police for only 11 months as the revision was sanctioned from 1-4-30. The effect of the substituted order No.F 13 (17)-E-29, dated

dated 22nd March 1930 is to give the Police an additional months pay, which will have to be met by re-appropriation.

I suggest that we wait for about a week until the Audit Officer's reply is ~~required~~ received.

16 3 1931

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O. No. PB 283 dated the 16th March 1931.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.
17 MAR 1931
Regd. No. 2771
File. No.

Please see the Pay and Accounts Officer's notes in paras 5 to 14. In the circumstances explained by him, this may await for a week more. If no reply is received ~~by~~ from him by the 23rd March, 1931, a reminder will be sent.

Submitted.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
17-3-1931.

Secretary.

17/3

The Pay and Accounts Office,
Bangalore.

S.N.17 (F) A kind reference is invited to the Government of India Foreign & Political Memorandum No.F-13(17)-E-29,dated 22-3-1930, issued in substitution of the memorandum bearing the same number and date regarding the revision of pay of the Police Force and Office Establishment in Bangalore.

S.N.2 (H) The Hon'ble the Resident submitted with his letter No.8485, dated 7-10-1929, proposals for the revision of pay of the Police Force and Office Establishment in the Civil and Military Station,Bangalore, with efect from the 1st March 1930. The Government of India in their original

S.N.4 (I) memorandum No.F-13(17)-E-29, dated 22-3-30, sanctioned these proposals with effect from the 1st April 1930 and also made necessary provision in the budget estimates of 1930-31 to meet the extra expenditure during the current year.

As the new Fundamental Rule 22 published with Govern-

E ment of India, Finance Department,Notification No.F.31-R-30, dated 16-4-1930 took effect from 18-3-1930 and as the occasion for the fixation of pay of the Police under the above sanction arose after that date the initial pay of the individuals had to be fixed under the new Fundamental Rule 22, Vide para 6 of the Government of India, Despatch

C No.5 of 1929 dated 11-4-1929 to the Secretary of State. The Hon'ble the Resident however wished that the pay of men should be fixed with reference to Fundamental Rule 22 as it existed at the time the proposals for the revision were made, as it had been his intention that the personnel should come on to the new rates of pay as laid down in the old Fundamental Rule 22, and asked me to fix and pay

S.Nos. 10 and 16 (J) (K) accordingly. His letters No.6644/209-1929, dated 25-8-1930(paras 1 to 4 thereof) and No.7591, dated 27-9-30 were apparently, addressed to the Government of India in order to regularise this fixation, and not for giving effect to the revision from 1st March 1930 instead of from 1st April 1930.

The intention of the Government of India in issuing the

the substituted order sanctioning the revision from 1-3-1930 is, perhaps, to enable the initial pay of the men to be fixed under the old Fundamental Rule 22 as the ~~xxxxx~~ revised Fundamental Rule 22 took effect only from 18-3-1930. If so, I beg to point out that the fixation of the pay of the individuals under the old Fundamental Rule 22 would appear to be in contravention of para 6 of the Government of India, Finance Department Despatch No.5 of 1929, dated 11-4-1929, as the occasion for fixing the pay arose only after the date of effect of the amended rules, i.e., after 18th March 1930 and that this would be the effect whether the sanction is given from 1-3-1930 or 1-4-1930. In fact the new rules only make the intention of the old rules clearer, and the antedating of the sanction would not therefore debar the application of the new rules.

It seems to me therefore that, in order to give effect to the proposal of the Hon'ble the Resident that the men should be allowed to draw in the revised scales of pay the stage next above their old pay, in other words, that the old Fundamental Rule 22 should be applied to their cases, it would be necessary to pass orders under Fundamental Rule 27 fixing the pay as desired by the Resident.

(Sd). S. Kuppuswami

Government of India, Foreign & Political Department,
U.O.NO.P.B.267 dated the 12/24th February 1931.

No.P.B.4667 dated 25th February 1931.

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, for information.

The revised sanction given by the Government of India does not appear to be sufficient to regularise the fixation of pay to the members of the Police force and office already made under old Fundamental Rule 22. Government of India are therefore being advised to issue an order under Fundamental Rule 27.

S Kuppuswamy

VK.

PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
27 FEB. 1931
Regd. No. 2136
File No.

24
A.

No. P. B. 3766 dated 25th February 1931, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Government of India Foreign and Political Memo No. F.13 (17)-E/29 dated 22-3-1930 in substitution of the Memorandum bearing the same number and date regarding revision of Pay of Police Force from 1-3-1930.

S. N-17. (F)

The sanction as accorded in the above memorandum is not sufficient to fix pay under the old F. R. 22. A reference has therefore been made to the Government of India. The arrear bills for 3-1930 bearing token Nos. 51 to 57, 61, 62, 67 & 68 are returned unpassed with the request that they may be retransmitted to this office for payment after the receipt of reply to this office reference to the Government of India, of which intimation will be sent to you as soon as received. Advance increments if any, included in the above bills may however be claimed now in separate bills.

---:000:---

(True Copy)
M. Ramachandrayya
Manager. 7.3.31

The Pay and Accounts Office,
Bangalore.

25

1/25/3

x Para 14 of the note.

Please see this office U.O. reply No.P.B.283, dated 16-3-1931 regarding payment of arrears of pay of the Police Force for March 1930. The sanction to the revision from 1-3-1930 has been accepted. The Commissioner of Police is being requested to present the bills for arrears for pass order and payment.

Necessary additional appropriation to meet the charge has been applied for in this office U.O. No.P.App. 292, dated 24-3-1931.

S Ramaswamy
24 3 31

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O. No. 295 dated the 24th March 1931.



S.N. 25. U.O. No. 295, dated the 24th March, 1931, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

P.U. & III

The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, states that the sanction to the revision of the pay of Police Force from the 1st March, 1930 has been accepted and the Commissioner of Police is being requested to present the bills for payment.

Necessary additional appropriation is being provided for in the Bangalore budget file. The P.U.C. may be filed.

T. N. T. Rangavelu Mudaliar.
25-3-1931.

Secretary.

26/3

S.N. 23. Letter No. 684/A/31, dated the 5th May, 1931, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military ----- Station, Bangalore.

P.T.B

Please see new Fundamental Rule 22 (1) (a) (i) and para 9 of S.N. 2.

Draft letter to the Pay and Accounts Officer, ---- together with an endorsement to the Commissioner of ----- Police, is submitted for approval.

T. N. Thangavelu Mudaliar
14-5-1931.

Secretary.

I am unable to understand you will have to speak.
9/5

spoken.
Issue.
9/5

S.N. 24. Issued No. 3713, dated the 22nd May, 1931.

V.O
24/5

Office of the Commissioner of Police, 6
C.& M.Station,Bangalore.
May 24th,1930.

From

E.H.Sullivan Esq.,

Commissioner of Police,

Bangalore



To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite your attention to your No. 2728 dated the 209/1929 27th March 1930 conveying the sanction of the Government of India to the enhanced rates of pay ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ for the Bangalore Police Force.

2.Under the old F.R. 22 it is laid down that when an incremental system is introduced a Government Servant will draw as initial pay the stage of the time scale next above his substantive pay in respect of the old post.This was explained to the whole Police Force in Bangalore by me in writing on the 5th May 1930 as some discontented members of the Force had attempted to cause dissatisfaction by circulating a story that it was unfair to give recruits Rs 22 which is Rs 2 more than the old initial pay whereas more senior constables only get an increase of Rs 1.To my astonishment I was informed by the Pay and Accounts Officer in his Ref.No.P.B.1-725 dated the 23rd May 1930 that the Government of India had revised F.R.22 with effect from 18-3-30 and that under the revised rule no member of the Force would be eligible for any increase of pay until such time as he would have received an increment in the time scale of the old post.The effect of this would be that no member of the Force would draw any extra pay at all for a period that,in the case of constables,might extend to three years.I need hardly remark that adherence to the revised rule would cause the most widespread and serious dissatisfaction in the Force and that the men would lose all confidence in Government as they would certainly believe that this rule was passed for the express purpose of depriving them of the increased pay to which they had been told they were entitled.

3.At the time of sanctioning the increased rates of pay the Government of India clearly intended that every member of the Force should draw increments with effect from 1.4.1930,ie that the increments should be drawn first on May 1st as they have made provision in the budget for this.

3.I therefore request that you will be so good as to order that Inspectors K.V.Srinivas and F.J.Mathias,all Sub-Inspectors .. except those already drawing the maximum pay, all Sergeants,all constables and all members of the Ministerial staff be granted one increment and that the Pay and Accounts Officer be instruc-ted to pass the increments with effect from the 1st April so that the men may receive their revised pay plus one month's arrears on the first of June.

4.I enclose the Pay and Accounts Officer's letter in original together with lists of the Police Force and Ministerial Establishment.

I have the honour to be ,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

T. Hallowes 24.5.30

Commissioner of Police.

Hon'ble Minister for Comm. of Police.

27.5.30

6/A

Letter Ref.No.P.B.1-725, dated the 23rd May, 1930, from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

I have the honour to return herewith the statements received with your memorandum No.6430, dated the 8th May, 1930, showing the pay proposed under the revision sanctioned by the Government of India in their No.F.13(17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March 1930, with the following remarks :-

1. The initial pay in the new scale should be fixed in accordance with the new F.R.22 (a copy of which is furnished herewith) which takes effect from the 18th March 1930 Vide G OF I Gazette Notification No.F 31-R-I/30, dated the 12th April, 1930.

2. Inspectors, Sub Inspectors, Sergeants and Office - - Establishment.

The initial pay admissible under the new F.R.22 has been noted in the statement against the respective individuals.

3. Head Constables.

The pay fixed by the Commissioner of Police has been checked and found to be correct.

4. Constables.

The constables at present drawing Rs.20/- and Rs.21/- may be allowed Rs.22/- the minimum pay of the new time-scale of Rs.22 -$\frac{1}{3}$- 28 -$\frac{1}{4}$- 30. As regards the constables on Rs.22/- and Rs.24/- their pay may be refixed under the new F.R.22 and a fresh statement sent to this office for check. In that statement information may kindly be furnished as to the date from which each individual has been drawing the present pay in the old scale.

5. The statements sent herewith may be noted and returned to this office for check of the pay bill and final record.

---

o/c.

ASB/27.5.

2/6

IMMEDIATE.

No. 4322
209-1929.

From

K. P. Dewar, Esquire,

Offg. SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Pay and Accounts Officer,

B a n g a l o r e.

Bangalore, the 27th May, 1930.

Sir,

S.N.6/A I am directed to refer to your letter No.P.B.1-725, dated the 23rd May, 1930, and to say that, when the proposals for the revision of pay of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, were made, it was understood that the personnel would come onto the new rates of pay in the way laid down in the old Fundamental Rule 22.

2. When the sanction of the Government of India was received, the personnel of the Police Force were informed that sanction had been received and that they would receive certain increases calculated according to -- Fundamental Rule 22. It was not then known that the rule had been changed.

3. The Hon'ble the Resident considers that it would be exceedingly unwise, at the present juncture, to delay paying the increases and in the circumstances I am to request that arrangements may be made to bring the --

personnel

personnel of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station on to the revised rates of pay in accordance with the old Fundamental Rule 22.

4. A report on the matter will be made to the Government of India.

5. I am to ask that this order may be treated as urgent, as it is desirable that the increase which was due on the 1st May and that due on the 1st June should both be ~~passed~~ paid on the 1st June.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

O/C.

Offg. Secretary to the Resident.
27/5

Copy to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, with reference to his letter dated the 24th May, 1930.

ASB/27.5.

Statement showing the present and proposed pay of Officers and men of the Police Force and clerks of Office establishment after the revision.

Sl. No.	Rank.	Name	Pay before 1st April 1930 i.e. before revision	Present pay after revision from 1st April 1930	Date of completing 55 years of age.	proposed pay. Time scale now sanctioned	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7
1.	Insptr. 2nd. Grade.	Mr. K.V. Srinivas	240 1-8-24	260	31-12-35	(D) (200-10-300) 5-225/315 305	5 increments
2.	"	" F.J. Mathias	240 1-8-24	260	17-2-38	—Do— 305	5 increments
3.	Sub Insptr. 2nd.Gd.	" R. Wale	120 18-1-26	125	14-9-34	(75-4-115 5-150) 135 →	2 increments For reasons please see my letter No.261/A/30 dated 18-2-30
4.	"	" L. Guru Rao	120 1-8-25	125	1-11-37	—Do— 135	2 increments
5.	"	" T.Venkata Rao	120 1-8-25	125	9-5-38	—Do— 135	2 increments
6.	" 3rd Grd.	" M. Abdul Karim	95 18-1-26	99	27-7-33	—Do— 107 →	4 increments -Do-
7	"	Saravottam Rao	95			107	
		EUROPEAN SERGEANTS.					
7	Segt.	Mr. J. Wasket	125 Q.A. 1-8-20	150	30-8-34	(150-3-180 5-200) 180	10 increments
8	"	" J. V. Irish	125 Q.A. 1-4-22	150	24-11-42	—Do— 165	5 increments
9	"	F. W. Thompson	125 Q.A. 1-8-20	150	1945	—Do— 159	3 increments
		OFFICE STAFF.					
0	Accountant ~~Ht. Clerk~~	Mr. H.Ramaswamy Iyengar.	90 17-3-28	92	1939	80-4-120/100 (D)	2 increments

G. Williamson

. COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

P.T.O.

Statement showing the present and proposed pay of the men in the Bangalore Police Force after the Revision.

Sl. No.	Rank.	No.	Name	Present pay after Revision from 1-4-30	Date of completing 55 years of age.	Proposed pay.	Remarks.
1	Constable	358	Dakshinamurthy	25	24- 4-31 (22-1/3-28 1/4-20)	28	3 increments
2	"	33	Annamalai 1-8-20 '24	25	7- 8-32	28	— do —
3	"	191	Govinda Mudr. 1-8-20 '24	25	1- 2-33	27	2 increments
4	"	252	Abdul Gaffoor 1-8-20 '24	25	1- 2-34	27	2 — do —
5	"	246	Joshava 1-8-20 '24	25	1- 5-35	27	2 — do —
6	"	288	Narainsamy 1-6-28 '24	25	1- 7-35	27	2 — do —
7	"	279	Ramjee Row 14-12-27 '24	25	19- 8-35	27	2 — do —
8	"	312	Mohamed Hasham 1-12-24 '24	25	20-11-35	27	2 — do —
9	"	186	Narasimaloo 1-8-20 '24	25	16- 1-36	26	1 increment
10	"	526	Abdul Sattar 1-4-23 '24	25	1- 7-36	26	1 — do —
11	"	58	Abdul Rahim 1-11-22 '24	25	1-11-36	26	1 — do —
12	"	38	Krishnajee Row 1-8-20 '24	25	10- 1-37	26	1 — do —
13	"	166	Subban Khan 1-8-29 '24	22	19- 7-38	26	4 increments
14	"	207	Vesvanta Row 1-12-23 '24	25	11- 9-38	26	1 — do —
15	"	224	K.P. Venka- taswamy. 1-6-22 '24	25	4- 5-39	26	1 — do —
16	"	349	Abboy Mudaliar 1-9-25 '24	25	12- 8-40	26	1 — do —
17	"	361	Krishnasamy Naidu. 1-9-22 '24	25	16- 8-40	26	1 — do —
18	"	299	Byrojee Row 1-8-20 '24	25	1- 9-40	26	1 — do —
19	"	404	Ross 4-8-24 '24	25	31-12-40	26	1 — do —

Received

G. Hamilton

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

4/4.

V 5/11/30

4

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE,

No. 756/A/30 en 5 Dated 10-6-1930.

FROM

E. H. Sullivan, Esquire,
COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
11 JUNE 1930
Regd. No. 6054
File. No. 209-25

SIR,

S. 8. 7

I have the honour to refer to your letter No.4322/209-1929 dated the 27th May 1930 and to state that in accordance with the instructions therein conveyed, supplemental bills were prepared and forwarded to the Pay & Accounts Officer. All the bills have been passed by him excepting those relating to Sergeants which have been returned to me - vide his memorandum herein enclosed in original together with the bills. The technical ground on which the Pay and Accounts Officer has disallowed the increment of Rs. 3/- would result in discontent among the Sergeants who have been all along getting a total pay of Rs. 150/- and when put in the new grade they should be given at least one increment, otherwise they will be the only members of the Force who will derive no immediate benefit from the new pay.

I would therefore recommend that the 5 Sergeants in the Force be given 1 increment each in advance i.e., be given a pay of Rs. 153/- in the grade of Rs. 150-3-180-5-200 in the revised scale of the post with effect from 1-4-1930.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS:—BANGACTS, BANGALORE.
TELEPHONE NUMBER :—47.

Memorandum.

No. _____

From

THE PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER,
BANGALORE.
_____ 192 .

To The Commissions of Police
C & M. Station
Bangalore

Ref :- Your Supplemental bills from Rs 11-5-0, 11-13-0, 3/E 3/= are returned for the reasons stated below.

The pay of the Sergeants was Rs 125/- + 25 Special Pay. But this has been revised into 150-3-180-5-200. Under the old rule FR 22(a) they can draw only Rs 150/- PM from 1-4-30 as the stage in the time-scale next above their present substantive pay of Rs 125/- is Rs 150. As the substantive pay does not include special pay viz FR 9(28) old & new. Token Nos 240, 228, 239 & 122) may be returned & bills taken back.

for Pay & Accounts Officer
Bangalore
4/6

S. Y. 180 (Half Sheet).
New S. Y. 259 (Half Sheet).
(Art. 64, C. A. C., Note 4.)

District _____

Supplemental.

Detailed Pay Bill of the Permanent Establishment of the Cen. Stn. Pol. Force Bangalore *for the month of* April 1930.

FOR USE IN AUDIT OFFICE.

Admitted
Objected
Auditor.
Senior Accountant.

240

Head chargeable.* In A.T. 26 A. Pol. Dr. 7.

Pay of Pol. Force

Total	Deduct— G. P. Fund	Total Premia	Fund ,,	Income-tax	Advances	House-rent, etc.	Total deductions	Net amount paid
11 8				3			3	11 5
11 8				3			3	11 5

Pay Rupees ( )

Cheque No.

Voucher No.

List of payments for 19

1 Serial No. of posts.	2 Name of establishment and post.	3 Name of incumbent.	4 Pay.	5 Pay already drawn or entered in deferred pay bill for Officiating pay.	6 Balance Officiating pay.	7 Remarks.	8 To be filled up in Audit Office.	9 Fines.	10 General Provident Fund.	11 Other Funds. (Specify Fund.)	12 Income-tax
	Hon'ble the Resident's letter No. 2728/209-1929 dt. 27-3-30										
	Expen. Secy. Gr. 150-3-180-5-200		Expen. Secy.			Disb. Exp. Force					
1	"	Mr. J. Waskett	153	150	3						
2	"	" J. T. Irish	153	150	3						
3	"	" W. F. Thompson									
	Leave 1st to 5th @ 150/- p.m.		25								
	May 6th to 30th @ 153/- p.m.		127 8	120	2 8						
4	"	" B. Brown	153	150	3						
		Total	611 8	600	11 8						

N.B.—1. Held-over amounts should be entered in the appropriate columns, 4, 5 or 6, as the case may be, in red ink, and ignored in totalling.
2. In the remarks column should be recorded all unusual permanent events, such as death, retirements, permanent transfers, first appointment, which find no place in the increment certificate or absentee statement.
3. The total pay of an officer officiating in a certain scale should be drawn for the period only that he officiates in that section. An officer during transit should draw his pay in that scale according to which he draws pay for the period.
4. Each scale should be divided off by a red line drawn right across the sheet and a total of columns 4, 5 and 6 for the scale should be put in column 7 in red ink.
* To be entered by drawing officer and check in Audit Office.

TOTAL BROUGHT FORWARD		11	8
Deduct—Undisbursed pay refunded as detailed below :—			
Fines			
General Provident Fund deductions			
Other Fund deductions			
Income-tax	3		
Recoveries ordered by Accountant-General in his letter No. , dated (for objection statement for).			
Total deductions		-	3
Net sum required for payment Rupees (*in words*) Eleven 1 anna as five only		11	5

1. ~~Received contents~~: also certified that I have satisfied myself that all emoluments included in bills drawn 1 month*
~~2 months~~ previous to this date, with the exception ~~3 months~~
of those detailed below (of which the total has been refunded by deduction from this bill), have been disbursed to the proper persons, and that their receipts have been taken in acquittance rolls filed in my office with receipt stamps duly cancelled for every payment in excess of Rs. 20.

* One line to be used and the others scored out.

2. Certified that no person in superior service has been absent either on deputation or suspension with or without leave (except on casual leave) during the month of

3. Certified that all officers whose names are omitted from, but for whom pay has been drawn in this bill have actually been entertained during the month.

4. Certified that no leave has been granted until, by reference to the applicant's Service Book and to the leave rules applicable to him, I had satisfied myself that it was admissible, and that all grants of leave and departures on, and returns from, and all period of suspension and deputation have been recorded in the Service Book under my initials.

NOTE.—When an absentee statement accompanies the bill the second Certificate should be struck out.

5. Certified that all appointments and permanent promotions and such of the acting promotions as have to be entered in the Service Books, as per columns in the Standard Form No. F. R. 10, have been entered in the Service Book of the persons concerned under my initials.

Dated 31st May 1930.

Commissioner of Police
M. STN. BANGALORE

Signature and designation of Head of Office.

PAY (Rs.) Rupees

Examined and entered Treasury Accountant Treasury Officer.

Dated 19

Detail of pay of absentees refunded.

Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.	Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.
					Brought forward		
	Carried over				TOTAL		

Periodical increment certificate.

CERTIFIED that the officers named below have been the incumbents of the appointments indicated for not less than years since the date in column 4, after deducting periods of suspension for misconduct and absences on extraordinary leave and other period of leave with pay which do not qualify for increments in an officiating appointment and they are allowed the sanctioned periodical increments from the dates cited for approved service.

Name of Incumbent.	Whether substantive or officiating.	Present pay.	Date of last increment.	Amount of present increment.	Date of present increment.	Future pay.	SUSPENDED FOR MISCONDUCT.		EXTRAORDINARY LEAVE AND OTHER PERIOD OF LEAVE WITH PAY WHICH DO NOT QUALIFY FOR INCREMENTS IN AN OFFICIATING APPOINTMENT.	
							From	To	From	To
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

Note.—When the increment claimed is the first to carry an officer over an efficiency bar, columns 5, 6 and 7 should be filled up in red ink.

MGIPC--FP- 623D CFMis.—(4386)—11-2-26—10,000.

Head of Office.

S. Y. 180 (Half Sheet).
New S. Y. 259 (Half Sheet).
(Art. 64, C. A. C., Note 4.)

Supplemental

District _____

Detailed Pay Bill of the Permanent Establishment of the Com. Sn. Police Force Bangalore. *for the month of* May 1930.



FOR USE IN AUDIT OFFICE.

Admitted Rs. _____ Objected Rs. _____ Auditor. _____ Senior Accountant.

Head chargeable.* M. A. T. 26 A-6 (1) c D. 2. 7.

Pay of Police Force.

| | | |

TOTAL BROUGHT FORWARD		12	-
Deduct—Undisbursed pay refunded as detailed below :—			
Fines			
General Provident Fund deductions			
Other Fund deductions			
Income-tax	- 3		
Recoveries ordered by Accountant-General in his letter No. , dated (for objection statement for).			
Total deductions		-	3
Net sum required for payment Rupees (*in words*) Eleven & annas thirteen only		11	13

1. Received ~~contents~~ also certified that I have satisfied myself that all emoluments included in bills drawn ~~1 month*~~ ~~2 months~~ ~~3 months~~ previous to this date, with the exception of those detailed below (of which the total has been refunded by deduction from this bill), have been disbursed to the proper persons, and that their receipts have been taken in acquittance rolls filed in my office with receipt stamps duly cancelled for every payment in excess of Rs. 20.

* One line to be used and the others scored out.

2. Certified that no person in superior service has been absent either on deputation or suspension with or without leave (except on casual leave) during the month of

3. Certified that all officers whose names are omitted from, but for whom pay has been drawn in this bill have actually been entertained during the month.

4. Certified that no leave has been granted until, by reference to the applicant's Service Book and to the leave rules applicable to him, I had satisfied myself that it was admissible, and that all grants of leave and departures on, and returns from, and all period of suspension and deputation have been recorded in the Service Book under my initials.

NOTE.—When an absentee statement accompanies the bill the second Certificate should be struck out.

5. Certified that all appointments and permanent promotions and such of the acting promotions as have to be entered in the Service Books, as per columns in the Standard Form No. F. R. 10, have been entered in the Service Book of the persons concerned under my initials.

Dated 31st May 1930.

Commissioner of Police

Signature and designation of Head of Office.

PAY (Rs.) ~~Rupees~~

Examined and entered Treasury Accountant. Treasury Officer.

Dated 19

Detail of pay of absentees refunded.

Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.	Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.
					Brought forward		
	Carried over				TOTAL		

Periodical increment certificate.

CERTIFIED that the officers named below have been the incumbents of the appointments indicated for not less than years since the date in column 4, after deducting periods of suspension for misconduct and absences on extraordinary leave and other period of leave with pay which do not qualify for increments in an officiating appointment and they are allowed the sanctioned periodical increments from the dates cited for approved service.

Name of Incumbent.	Whether substantive or officiating.	Present pay.	Date of last increment.	Amount of present increment.	Date of present increment.	Future pay.	SUSPENDED FOR MISCONDUCT.		EXTRAORDINARY LEAVE AND OTHER PERIOD OF LEAVE WITH PAY WHICH DO NOT QUALIFY FOR INCREMENTS IN AN OFFICIATING APPOINTMENT.	
							From	To	From	To
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

Note.—When the increment claimed is the first to carry an officer over an efficiency bar, columns 5, 6 and 7 should be filled up in red ink.

Head of Office.

[GIPC—FP—623D CFMis.—(4388)—11-2-26—10,000.

S. Y. 180 (Half Sheet).
New S. Y. 259 (Half Sheet).
(Art. 64, C. A. C., Note 4.)

Supplemental.

District _____

Detailed Pay Bill of the Permanent Establishment of the Cantt. Sta: Police Force Bangalore *for the month of* April *1930.*

FOR USE IN AUDIT OFFICE.

Admitted By THE ACCT. GEN. 239 Auditor. Senior Accountant.

Objected to on

Head chargeable.* 2 in A.F.-26 B. Pr. Cee Sur Ry.

Pay of Pol: Force 3

Total 3

Deduct— G. P. Fund — Total Premia — Fund — " — Income-tax — Advances — House-rent, etc.

Total deductions

Net amount paid 3

Pay Rupees ( )

G. O.

Cheque No.

Voucher No. of List of payments for _____ 19 . .

1 Serial No. of posts.	2 Name of establishment and post.	3 Name of incumbent.	4 Pay.	Pay/allowance drawn in detailed bill of pay/time. Leave salary.	Officiating pay.	7 Remarks.	8 To be filled up in Audit Office.	9 Fines.	10 General Provident Fund.	11 Other Funds. (Specify Fund.)	12 Income-tax.	Net payable.
	Hon'ble the Residents' Order No. 2728/209-1929											
	(150-3-180-5-200)		Supdt. Sergt: Cantt. Police S. M. Ry.									
1	Supdt. Sergt:	Mr E. J. Jackson	153	150	3							3
		Total	153	150	3							3

N.B.—1. Held-over amounts should be entered in the appropriate columns, 4, 5 or 6, as the case may be, in red ink, and ignored in totalling.
2. In the remarks column should be recorded all unusual permanent events, such as death, retirements, permanent transfers, first appointment, which find no place in the increment certificate or absentee statement.
3. The total pay of an officer officiating in a certain scale should be drawn for the period only that he officiates in that section. An officer during transit should draw his pay in that scale according to which he draws pay for the period.
4. Each scale should be divided off by a red line drawn right across the sheet and a total of columns 4, 5 and 6 for the scale should be put in column 7 in red ink.
* To be entered by drawing officer and check in Audit Office.

TOTAL BROUGHT FORWARD	3	-
Deduct—Undisbursed pay refunded as detailed below :—		
Fines		
General Provident Fund deductions		
Other Fund deductions		
Income-tax		
Recoveries ordered by Accountant-General in his letter No. , dated (for objection statement for).		
Total deductions		
Net sum required for payment Rupees (*in words*) Three only	3	-

1. ~~Received contents~~: also certified that I have satisfied myself that all emoluments included in bills drawn 1 month* ~~2 months~~ ~~3 months~~ previous to this date, with the exception of those detailed below (of which the total has been refunded by deduction from this bill), have been disbursed to the proper persons, and that their receipts have been taken in acquittance rolls filed in my office with receipt stamps duly cancelled for every payment in excess of Rs. 20.

* One line to be used and the others scored out.

2. Certified that no person in superior service has been absent either on deputation or suspension with or without leave (except on casual leave) during the month of——

3. Certified that all officers whose names are omitted from, but for whom pay has been drawn in this bill have actually been entertained during the month.

4. Certified that no leave has been granted until, by reference to the applicant's Service Book and to the leave rules applicable to him, I had satisfied myself that it was admissible, and that all grants of leave and departures on, and returns from, and all period of suspension and deputation have been recorded in the Service Book under my initials.

NOTE.—When an absentee statement accompanies the bill the second Certificate should be struck out.

5. Certified that all appointments and permanent promotions and such of the acting promotions as have to be entered in the Service Books, as per columns in the Standard Form No. F. R. 10, have been entered in the Service Book of the persons concerned under my initials.

Dated 31 May 1930.

Commissioner of Police

Signature and designation of Head of Office.

PAY (Rs.) Rupees

Examined and entered Treasury Accountant Treasury Officer.

Dated 19 .

Detail of pay of absentees refunded.

Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.	Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.
					Brought forward		
	Carried over				TOTAL		

Periodical increment certificate.

CERTIFIED that the officers named below have been the incumbents of the appointments indicated for not less than years since the date in column 4, after deducting periods of suspension for misconduct and absences on extraordinary leave and other period of leave with pay which do not qualify for increments in an officiating appointment and they are allowed the sanctioned periodical increments from the dates cited for approved service.

Name of Incumbent.	Whether substantive or officiating.	Present pay.	Date of last increment.	Amount of present increment.	Date of present increment.	Future pay.	SUSPENDED FOR MISCONDUCT.		EXTRAORDINARY LEAVE AND OTHER PERIOD OF LEAVE WITH PAY WHICH DO NOT QUALIFY FOR INCREMENTS IN AN OFFICIATING APPOINTMENT.	
							From	To	From	To
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

Note.—When the increment claimed is the first to carry an officer over an efficiency bar, columns 5, 6 and 7 should be filled up in red ink.

MGIPC--FP--623D CFMis.—(4388)—11-2-26—10,000.

Head of Office.

S. Y. 180 (Half Sheet).
New S. Y. 259 (Half Sheet).
(Art. 64, C. A. C., Note 4.)

District _____

Detailed Pay Bill of the Permanent Establishment of the Ctrn. Str: Police Depot Bangalore. *for the month of* May *1930.*

FOR USE IN AUDIT OFFICE.

Admitted Rs. _____ Objected Rs. _____ Auditor. _____ Senior Accountant.

Head chargeable.* M.A.-T 2 6. B. Police S. H. Qy.

Pay of Police Force 3

Total 3

Deduct— G. P. Fund _____ Total Premia _____ Fund _____ " _____ Income-tax 1 Advances _____ House-rent, etc. _____

Total deductions 1 Net amount paid 3

Pay Rupees ( )

Cheque No. _____ G. O. _____

Voucher No. _____ of _____ List of payments for _____ 19 .

1 Serial No. of posts.	2 Name of establishment and post.	3 Name of incumbent.	4 Pay.	5 Pay already drawn in detached Leave salary. Bill for May/30	6 Balance Officiating pay.	7 Remarks.	8 To be filled up in Audit Office.	9 Fines.	10 General Provident Fund.	11 Other Funds. (Specify Fund.)	12 Income-tax.	Net Payable.
	(150-3-180-S-200)			Expn. Sergt. Dy Police S. H. Qy.								
1	Expn Sergt	Mr. E. J. Jackam	153	150	3							3
		Total	153	150	3							3

N.B.—1. Held-over amounts should be entered in the appropriate columns, 4. 5 or 6, as the case may be, in red ink, and ignored in totalling.
2. In the remarks column should be recorded all unusual permanent events, such as death, retirements, permanent transfers, first appointment, which find no place in the increment certificate or absentee statement.
3. The total pay of an officer officiating in a certain scale should be drawn for the period only that he officiates in that section. An officer during transit should draw his pay in that scale according to which he draws pay for the period.
4. Each scale should be divided off by a red line drawn right across the sheet and a total of columns 4, 5 and 6 for the scale should be put in column 7 in red ink.
* To be entered by drawing officer and check in Audit Office.

TOTAL BROUGHT FORWARD	3	-
Deduct—Undisbursed pay refunded as detailed below :—		
Fines		
General Provident Fund deductions		
Other Fund deductions		
Income-tax		
Recoveries ordered by Accountant-General in his letter No. , dated (for objection statement for).	/	/
Total deductions		-
Net sum required for payment Rupees (*in words*) Three only	3	-

1. ~~Received contents~~ : also certified that I have satisfied myself that all emoluments included in bills drawn 1 month* ~~2 months~~ previous to this date, with the exception ~~3 months~~ of those detailed below (of which the total has been refunded by deduction from this bill), have been disbursed to the proper persons, and that their receipts have been taken in acquittance rolls filed in my office with receipt stamps duly cancelled for every payment in excess of Rs. 20.

* One line to be used and the others scored out.

2. Certified that no person in superior service has been absent either on deputation or suspension with or without leave (except on casual leave) during the month of——

3. Certified that all officers whose names are omitted from, but for whom pay has been drawn in this bill have actually been entertained during the month.

4. Certified that no leave has been granted until, by reference to the applicant's Service Book and to the leave rules applicable to him, I had satisfied myself that it was admissible, and that all grants of leave and departures on, and returns from, and all periods of suspension and deputation have been recorded in the Service Book under my initials.

NOTE.—When an absentee statement accompanies the bill the second Certificate should be struck out.

5. Certified that all appointments and permanent promotions and such of the acting promotions as have to be entered in the Service Books, as per columns in the Standard Form No. F. R. 10, have been entered in the Service Book of the persons concerned under my initials.

Dated 31st May 1930. B. Macleun

By Commissioner of Police

Signature and designation of Head of Office.

PAY (Rs.) Rupees C. & M. STN. BANGALORE

Examined and entered Treasury Accountant

Treasury Officer.

Dated 19

Detail of pay of absentees refunded.

Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.	Designation of Post.	Name of Incumbent.	Period.	Amount.
					Brought forward		
	Carried over				TOTAL		

Periodical increment certificate.

CERTIFIED that the officers named below have been the incumbents of the appointments indicated for not less than years since the date in column 4, after deducting periods of suspension for misconduct and absences on extraordinary leave and other period of leave with pay which do not qualify for increments in an officiating appointment and they are allowed the sanctioned periodical increments from the dates cited for approved service.

Name of Incumbent.	Whether substantive or officiating.	Present pay.	Date of last increment.	Amount of present increment.	Date of present increment.	Future pay.	SUSPENDED FOR MISCONDUCT.		EXTRAORDINARY LEAVE AND OTHER PERIOD OF LEAVE WITH PAY WHICH DO NOT QUALIFY FOR INCREMENTS IN AN OFFICIATING APPOINTMENT.	
							From	To	From	To
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

Note.—When the increment claimed is the first to carry an officer over an efficiency bar, columns 5, 6 and 7 should be filled up in red ink.

MGIPC--FP--623D CFMis.—(4388)—11-2-26—10,000.

Head of Office.

No. 6644 Encs. 4 Sup.
209-1929.

From

The Hon'ble Lieutenant Colonel R.J.C. Burke,

RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Political Secretary to the Government of India,

Foreign and Political Department.

Through

The Pay and Accounts Officer,

B a n g a l o r e.

Bangalore, the 25th August, 1930.

REVISION OF PAY OF POLICE FORCE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to your memorandum No.F.13 (17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March, 1930, in which the sanction of the Government of India was accorded to the revision of pay of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and the office establishment of the Commissioner of Police.

S. N. 4.

2. Under the old Fundamental Rule 22 it was laid down that when an incremental system of pay was introduced a Government servant would draw as initial pay the stage of the time scale next above his original substantive pay. When the proposals for the revision of pay of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, were made, it was understood that the personnel would come on to the new rates of pay in the way laid down in the old Fundamental Rule 22. When the sanction of the Government of India was received, the personnel of the Police Force

were

were informed that sanction had been received and were told informally of the effect of the orders — that is to say, that they would receive certain increases calculated according to old Fundamental Rule 22. It was not then known that the rule had been changed.

3. On receipt of the orders of the Government of India, the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, stated that the initial pay in the new scale should be fixed in accordance with a new Fundamental Rule 22, which had come into force with effect from the 18th March, 1930, and that no member of the Police Force would be eligible for any increase of pay until such time as he would have received an increment in the time scale of the old post. The effect of this would have been that no member of the Force would have drawn any extra pay at all for a period that, in the case of constables, might have extended to three years. I need hardly say that insistence on the application of the revised rule would have caused very serious dissatisfaction in the Police Force and that the men would certainly have believed that this rule was passed for the express purpose of depriving them of the increased pay to which they had been, in good faith, told they were entitled.

It was, moreover, clear from the budget provision which had been made that it had, at the time, been the intention to apply the old Rule 22.

As it would have been most unwise, in the state of affairs obtaining at the present time, to delay paying the increases, Major Loch who was then officiating as Resident in Mysore, directed the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to make arrangements to bring the personnel of the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, on to the revised rates of pay in accordance with the old Fundamental Rule 22.

4. In the circumstances explained above I request that the Government of India may be pleased to approve of Major Loch's action.

5. I wish to make a further recommendation.

At the time when the revision of the rates was under consideration, it was intended that certain members of the Police Force should receive advance increments for special reasons. The grant of advance increments is ordinarily within the powers of the Resident, but the Pay and Accounts Officer has advised me that, owing to the numbers affected and the fact that a revision of pay has only just been sanctioned, it is desirable to treat the grant of increments as part of the revision proposals and to obtain the specific sanction of the Government of India. I propose that in the cases of the marginally noted personnel special advance increments may be given with effect from 1st April, 1930, in addition to the increment given under old Fundamental Rule 22, mentioned in the earlier paragraphs of this letter.

Sub-Inspectors.		Increase per mensem.
1. Sub-Inspector Vale	- 2 increments	= Rs.10/-
2. Sub-Inspector Abdul Kareem.	2 "	= Rs. 8/-
3. Sub-Inspector Sarvotham Rao.	1 increment.	= Rs. 4/-
Sergeant		
Sergeant Thaskett	2 increments.	= Rs. 6/-
18 Constables	1 increment each.	= Rs.18/-
		Rs.46/-

6. The increments are primarily recommended in consideration of the long service and good work of the individuals concerned, and certain additional information about each case is given below :-

(a) Sub-Inspectors Vale and Abdul Kareem.

In January, 1926, the pay of these Sub-Inspectors was reduced from Rs.135 and 104 to Rs.120 and 95 respectively, in connection with the abolition, in the interests of economy

economy, of a post of Sub-Inspector I Grade in accordance with the recommendation of Mr. Boag. In order to save them from personal loss, personal pay has been granted to them with effect from 18th January, 1926, under Fundamental Rule 9(23)(a). The two advance increments now recommended will bring their pay to the point at which it previously stood and their personal pay will be withdrawn. They are due to retire on 14th September 1934 and 27th July, 1933.

(b) Sub-Inspector Sarvotham Rao.

I recommend as a special case the grant of one increment in advance to Sub-Inspector Sarvotham Rao who has been on the present pay of Rs.95/- since 1st November, 1923, on the ground of particularly meritorious service. He passed out first from the Police Training School, Vellore, in October 1921, and since then has earned no less than 26 rewards and good service entries for meritorious work.

(c) Sergeant Haskett.

Sergeant Haskett has been on his present pay since 1st August, 1920, and is due to retire in four years. He has the best record among the sergeants, but is very badly placed. The grant of two increments in advance is a - - suitable reward for his good work and will also slightly improve his pension from Rs.78-8-0 to Rs.81-8-0. The other sergeants, even without any advance increments, will enjoy a pension of over Rs.80/- per mensem.

A statement showing the present, proposed pay, etc., of the above Sub-Inspectors and Sergeant is enclosed.

(d) Constables - 18.

When the terms of the revision of pay was given out, there was considerable dissatisfaction among the senior

ranks

ranks (which was largely started and assiduously fanned by evilly disposed persons) owing to the fact that senior constables got only rupee one extra, while, owing to the raising of the initial pay, men who had only recently joined the Force received rupees two extra. Much of this sense of grievance will, I consider, be removed if the eighteen constables shown in the enclosed list are given one increment each in advance. The men concerned are picked men, whose good service merits consideration and it is particularly desirable at the present time, when there are signs of the spread of trouble to the Civil and Military Station, to ensure that the Police Force is contented.

7. The extra cost involved by the proposals amounts to Rs.2,852/- as shown in the enclosed statement. It is proposed to meet this expenditure by re-appropriation as shown in the enclosed statement from savings anticipated in the current year's Assigned Tract budget under the head "Account I - Police - A - District Executive Force - District Police - A.6 - Works - Construction of quarters for the Commissioner of Police".

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. R. J. E. Burke

Lt.-Col.,
R E S I D E N T.

ASB

Statement showing the extra cost involved by the grant of one advance increments to police force and special increments to certain police officers etc.,

Particulars.	Budget Estimate 1930-31.	Anticipated expenditure during 1930-31 including the grant of one advance increments.	Extra expenditure involved by the proposals to grant special advance increments to certain Police Officers.	Extra expenditure involved by the proposal to grant personal pay to Sub-Inspectors Wale & Abdul Kareem.	Total of Cols. ~~3,4 & 5.~~ 3 + 4	Additional allotment required during 1930-31.	Remarks.
1.	2	3	4		5	6	7
Account I Police.							
A-District Executive Force - District Police.							
A-2 - Police Force.							
(1) Inspector and Sub-Inspectors.	29,300 ✓	32,844	242		33,086		
— do — Railway.	7,700 ✓	7,388			7,388		
(2) Head-Constables Constables and Sergeants.	1,32,460 ✓	1,38,666	264		1,38,930		
— do — Railway.	32,500 ✓	32,848			33,848		
(3) Revision of pay.	12,200 ✓						
(4) Temporary Establishment.	1,800 ✓						
(5) Probable savings.	5,560 ✓						
Total.	~~1,70,200~~ 2,10,400 ✓	2,11,746 ✓	506		2,13,252 ✓	2,852 ✓	

T.N.T.
18-5-30

Statement showing the present and proposed pay etc of 3 Sub-Inspectors and 1 Sergeant of the Police Force, L. & M. Stations, Bangalore.

Rank.	Name	Pay on 31st March 1930 i.e before revision Rs	Pay on 1st April 1930 under old F. Rule 22 i.e after revision Rs.	Number of special increments now recommended Rs.	Pay. Rs.	Date of retirement	Approximate pension if no special increments are given Rs	Approximate pension if special increments are given Rs
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.
1. Sub-Inspector	Mr. R. Wade (75-4-115-5-150)	120	125	Two increments	135	14-9-1934	68 1/2	73 1/2.
2 —do—	Mr. Mr. Abdul Karim.	95	99.	Two increments	107	27-7-1933	52	56.
3. —do—	Mr. Saravotham Rao.	95	99	One increment	103	8-4-1952	75	75
4. Sergeant	Mr. J. Wasket (150-3-180-5-200)	125	150	Two increments	156	30-8-1934	78 1/2	81 1/2.
	Special Pay.	25						

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
18-8-1930.

Statement showing the present and proposed pay etc. of the men in the Bangalore Police Force after the Revise

No. and Name.	Pay on 31st March 1930	Pay on 1-4-1930 under old F. Rules.	Number of special increment proposed	Proposed Pay.	Date of completing 55 years of age.	Remarks
Constables.	Rs.					
Scale - 22-1/3-28-1/4-30).						
No - Name						
358 Dakshinamurthy	24	25	1 increment	26	24-4-1931	
33. Annamalai	24	25	do	26	7-8-1932	
191. Govinda Mudaliar	24	25	do	26	1-2-1933	
252. Abdul Gaffoor	24	25	do	26	1-2-1934	
246. Goorhava	24	25	do	26	1-5-1935	
288. Narainsamy	24	25	do	26	1-7-1935	
279. Ramjee Row	24	25	do	26	19-8-1935	
312. Mohamed Sharam	24	25	do	26	20-11-1935	
186. Narasimaloo	24	25	do	26	16-1-1936	
526 Abdul Sattar	24	25	do	26	1-7-1936	
58. Abdul Rahim	24	25	do	26	1-11-1936	
38. Krishnajee Row	24	25	do	26	10-1-1937	
207. Nyesvanta Row	24	25	do	26	11-9-1938	
224. K.P. Venkataswamy	24	25	do	26	4-5-1939	
349. Abbay Mudaliar	24	25	do	26	12-8-1940	
361 - Krishnasamy Naidu	24	25	do	26	16-8-1940	
299 Byrojee Row.	24	25	do	26	1-9-1940	
404 Rose	24	25	do	26	31-12-1940	

Note. Constables 358, 33, 191, 252 and 246 have been drawing Rs. 24 since 1st August 1920. Constable 288 has been drawing Rs. 24 since 1st June 1928. He joined rather late. Constable 279 has been drawing Rs. 24 since 14-12-1927. He also enlisted comparatively late. Constable 312 has been drawing Rs. 24 since 1-12-1926. Constable 186 has been on his present pay (Rs 24) since 1-8-1920. All the rest have been on their present pay for between 5 and 10 years. All the constables who enlisted when over age, are ex-sepoys.

10
N

BANGALORE CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION BUDGET FOR 1930-31.

Expenditure proposed to be provided for :—

Rs. 2,852/- *on account of* (a) pay of Police Force, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Major-head. Account I - Police

Minor-head. A. District Executive Force - District Police -

Sub-head. A. 2 - Police Force.

Detailed head.

Amount proposed to be spent during current year Rs. 2,14,627

Amount proposed to be spent during future years Rs. - -

1	2	3	4
Heads of estimate affected by the proposal (b)	Actual expenditure up to date of the proposal (viz.,)	Amounts as in the estimate passed by Government.	Amounts as they will stand after re-appropriation.
(1) Heads under which the proposed expenditure will fall.			
Non-Voted. A - District Executive Force - District Police - A.2 - Police Force.		1,70,200 plus 1,375 = 1,71,575	1,73,391
B.- Railway Police B.2 - Pay of Establishment.		40,200	41,236
Total.....	...	2,11,775	2,14,627
(2) Heads under which it is proposed to reduce the grants.			
Non-Voted. Account I - Police - A. District Executive Force - District Police - A.5 - Works - (Construction of quarters for the Commissioner of Police)		53,200	50,348
Total.....	...	53,200	50,348

(a) Here enter full description, mentioning the Department, or Office, or Officer concerned.

(b) The same detail must be shown as in the printed estimates of the Government (or the sanctioned estimate), as the re-appropriation has to be affected by transfer of the figures shown therein

NOTE.—Any further explanations should be given on reverse, where also, if no re-appropriation is possible, the urgency and necessity for the expenditure should be fully explained.

NOTE.—When the application is submitted for the sanction of a Local Government, the form may be modified in any way the Local Government thinks fit, provided that the Local Government indicates distinctly in the orders issued that the new expenditure is to be met by re-appropriation from anticipated savings under the same or another major-head.

Resident's Office,

Bangalore, 25th Aug., 1930.

for *Secretary to the Resident.*

S. 13.—R. P. B.—11-1921.—3,000.

IF

12

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

E. H. Sullivan, Esquire,
Commissioner of Police,
Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Reference Pay and Accounts Officer's No.P.B.115, dated the 29th August, 1930 addressed to you copy of which has been forwarded to me I do not understand how a mistake can arise in respect to the revision of pay of my office, when I have made specific mention in my letter to you dated the 24th May, 1930 that the old F.R.22 should be applied not only to the Force but to all members of ministerial staff.

The Pay and Accounts Officer says that the mistake is noticed in the Hon'ble the Resident's letter No.6644 dated the 25th August, 1930 to Government of India which I presume is worded "Personnel of the Police Force" which certainly should include the Police office staff also. If any clerical mistake has crept in, I request that necessary correction may be made immediately and the Pay and Accounts Officer be intimated on the phone to-day to the effect that the word Personnel of the Police Force occurring in your letter No.4322/209-29 includes office establishment also specially when special provision has been made on this account and that his objection memo No.P.B.159, dated the 29th August, 1930 may withdraw.

C. Lakshman.

30/8/30

The Pay and Accounts Office,
Bangalore.

III

13.

Please see Secretary to the Resident's letter No. 4322, dated 27-5-1930, asking me to allow pay calculated according to the old Fundamental Rule 22 to the personnel of the Police Force. By oversight this order was applied to the Office establishment also and pay according to the old Fundamental Rule 22 was wrongly passed in the following cases:-

Name.	Pay according to old Fundamental Rule 22.	Pay according to new Fundamental Rule 22.	Difference over-paid.
Mr. M. Ramachandraiah, Manager.	170	160 Personal pay plus .. 5	5
Mr. H. Ramaswami Ayengar, Head Accountant.	92	88 Personal pay 2	2
Mr. B. Shama Rao, Head Clerk.	72	68 Personal pay 2	2
Mr. Pattabiramiah, Clerk.	57	55	2
Mr. B. Rajappah Chetty, Clerk.	57	55	2

13.

This mistake came to notice when dealing with the Hon'ble the Resident's letter No.6644, dated 25-8-1930 to the Government of India, Foreign and Political Department. The overpayments made for the months of April 30 to July 30 will be adjusted by recovering them in three instalments from future pay bills.

S Krishnaswamy
22/8/30

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O.P.B.114, dated the 29th August 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
1 SEPT. 1930
Regd. 8796
File 209/29

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Office of the Resident in ~~DEPARTMENT~~ Mysore.

EXPRESS LETTER

[*N.B.—This is an ORIGINAL MESSAGE, sent by post to save telegraphic expense and undue use of the wires, but intended to be treated, on receipt, with the same expedition as if it had been telegraphed. To save time and formalities it is worded and signed as if it had been so despatched.*]

FROM

Secresmys,

Bangalore.

To

Bangacts,

Bangalore.

No. 6867 *Dated* 1st September, 1930.

S.N. 13. P.U. & III

Reference:- Your U.O.No.P.B.114 dated the 29th August,1930.

S. N. 7. (J)

The intention of Mr. Dewar's letter No.4322, dated the 25th May, 1930 was clearly that the whole personnel, including office establishment, should be treated under the old Fundamental Rule 22, as the new one was unknown when the proposals were made. Please pass the Commissioner's Office establishment pay bill without any reduction.

1/9

Secretary to the the Resident.

Copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, with reference to his letter dated the 30th August, 1930, with the request that a statement showing the extra cost involved by the grant of one advance increment to the Police Office establishment may kindly be forwarded to this Residency through the Pay and Accounts Office, Bangalore.

S. G.
T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar.
1-9-1930.

1/9/30

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS:—**BANGACTS, BANGALORE.**
TELEPHONE NUMBER:—**47.**

Memorandum.

No. P.B.2701,

From

THE PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER,
BANGALORE.
6th September 1930.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the
Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

Forwarded.

Sanction of the Government of India is necessary for fixing the initial pay of the clerks concerned under the old Fundamental Rule 22.

Pay and Accounts Officer.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
9 SEPT. 1930
Regd. No. 9165
File No. 209/1929

A
A

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE,

No. 1165/A/30 *Dated* 3—9—1930.

FROM E. H. Sullivan, Esquire,

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble
The Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

Through The Pay & Accounts Officer,
Bangalore.

SIR,

S.N.14. (A) Reference:- Your Express letter No. 6867 dated 1st September 1930.

---:000:---

The extra cost involved by the grant of pay to the personnel of my office establishment under old Fundamental Rules 22 as specified in your letter will be the sum-total of the difference of pay pointed out in the Pay & Accounts Officer's detailed memo number P.B. 114 dated 29-8-1930 i.e., Rs. 13/- per mensem or Rs. 11 X 13 Rs. 143 in the year. S.N.13. (I)

This expenditure can easily be met from the provision of Rs. 700/- already made under head "Revision of Pay - Office establishment" in the sanctioned Budget of the current year.

To number AB 4/9

THE PAY AND A/C
DIARY 4 SEP.
5049
NO.

E. Sullivan
COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.
1/9

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No. 209 1929

Serial No. 16

Draft Letter / ~~Memorandum~~ / ~~Telegram~~

No. 7591 Dated 27th September 1930.

Class for telegrams: ~~Ordinary~~ / ~~Express~~ / ~~Urgent*~~ / ~~Priority~~ / ~~Clear Line†~~

*Only for Foreign Telegrams.

†Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on

Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above 2.N.10.

List of enclosures

~~(Code word for telegrams.)~~

To

The Political Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign and Political Department.

Through:- The Pay & Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

Subject:-Revision of pay of Police Force, C & M Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.6644, 209-1929 dated the 25th August, 1930, I have the honour to inform you that the pay according to the old Fundamental Rule 22 was also given to the following Office establishment of the Commissioner of Police, C & M Station, Bangalore:-

Name	Pay according to Old F.R.22	Pay according to New F.R. 22.	Difference over-paid p.m.
Mr. M.Ramachandraiah, Manager	170	160 personal pay + 5	5
Mr. H.Ramaswami Ayengar Head Accountant	92	88 personal pay + 2	2
Mr.B.Shama Rao, Head Clerk	72	68 personal pay + 2	2
Mr. Pattabiramiah, Clerk	57	55	2
Mr. B.Rajappa Chetty, Clerk	57	55	2
			13

The Pay and Accounts Officer,

Bangalore

S. 5.

MG!PC-81--788(M)DCF—(G-818)—11-7-29—50,000 Pads.

TO BE SUBSTITUTED FOR MEMORANDUM BEARING THE SAME NUMBER AND DATE.

From - The Under Secretary to the Government of India in the Foreign and Political Department,

To - The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Memorandum No.F.13(17)-E/29.

Dated New Delhi, the 22nd March 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
6 DEC 1929
Regd. No. 12419
File No. 209/29

B.M.2

Revision of pay of Police Force and office establishment of the Commissioner of Police Civil and Military Station Bangalore.

Reference your letter No. 8485, dated the 7th October 1929.

Sanction is accorded to the revision, with effect from the 1st March 1930, of pay of the Police Force and office establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, being effected in the manner shown in the enclosed proposition statement. To meet the immediate extra expenditure involved in 30-31 a provision of Rs.13,100/- has been included in the budget estimates of the Bangalore Civil and Military Station for 1930-31.

X Sent already.

Sd/- S.C.Gupta,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

A t t e s t e d.

Superintendent,

Foreign and Political Department.

TO BE SUBSTITUTED FOR MEMORANDUM BEARING THE SAME NUMBER AND DATE.

From - The Under Secretary to the Government of India
in the Foreign and Political Department,

To - The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Memorandum No.F,13(17)-E/29.

Dated New Delhi, the 22nd March 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.
6 DEC 1930
Regd. No. 12419
File No.

Revision of pay of Police Force and office establishment of the Commissioner of Police Civil and Military Station Bangalore.

Reference your letter No. 8485, dated the 7th October 1929.

Sanction is accorded to the revision, with effect from the 1st March 1930, of pay of the Police Force and office establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, being effected in the manner shown in the enclosed proposition statement. To meet the immediate extra expenditure involved in 30-31 a provision of Rs.13,100/- has been included in the budget estimates of the Bangalore Civil and Military Station for 1930-31.

x Sent already.

Sd/- S.C.Gupta,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

A t t e s t e d.

Sd. ~~S.C.Biswas~~ S.N. Chatterjee,

Superintendent,

Foreign and Political Department.

11

From - The Under Secretary to the Government of India
in the Foreign and Political Department,

To - The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Memorandum No.F.13(17)-E/29.
Dated New Delhi, the 1st ~~November~~ December 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.
6 DEC 1930
Regd. 12421
File No. 209/29.

Grant of advance increments to certain members of the Bangalore Police Force.

Reference correspondence ending with your memorandum No. 7591 / 209 of 29, dated the 27th September 1930.

2. The Government of India have been pleased to agree to ante-dating the orders issued under Foreign and Political Department memorandum No.F.13(17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March 1930 and a communication in substitution of these orders is being issued separately.

3. In regard to your proposals to grant advance increments to certain members of the Bangalore Police Force, the Government of India are pleased to accord sanction to the grant, with effect from the 1st March 1930, of two advance increments to Sub-Inspector Wale and Sergeant Waskett and one advance increment to Sub-Inspector Sarvotham Rao and to each of the 18 constables as recommended in your letter dated the 25th August 1930, No. 6644/209-1929. In the case of Sub-Inspector Abdul Kareem however, in order to bring his pay up to what it was previous to reduction in 1926, one increment only need be granted plus a personal pay of rupee 1/- per mensem which will be absorbed in the next increment. The expenditure during the

current

OFFICE

current year should be met in the manner indicated in paragraph 7 of your letter No. 6644-Encl.4/209-1929 dated the 25th August 1930.

Sd/- S.C.Gupta,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

A t t e s t e d.

S. Challaju

Superintendent,

Foreign and Political Department.

From - The Under Secretary to the Government of India
in the Foreign and Political Department,

To - The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Memorandum No.F.13(17)-E/29.
Dated New Delhi, the 1st ~~November~~ December 1930.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
6 DEC 1930
Regd. No. 12421
File No.

Grant of advance increments to certain members of the Bangalore Police Force.

Reference correspondence ending with your memorandum No. 7591 / 209 of 29, dated the 27th September 1930.

2. The Government of India have been pleased to agree to ante-dating the orders issued under Foreign and Political Department memorandum No.F.13(17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March 1930 and a communication in substitution of these orders is being issued separately.

3. In regard to your proposals to grant advance increments to certain members of the Bangalore Police Force, the Government of India are pleased to accord sanction to the grant, with effect from the 1st March 1930, of two advance increments to Sub-Inspector Wale and Sergeant Waskett and one advance increment to Sub-Inspector Sarvotham Rao and to each of the 18 constables as recommended in your letter dated the 25th August 1930, No. 6644/209-1929. In the case of Sub-Inspector Abdul Kareem however, in order to bring his pay up to what it was previous to reduction in 1926, one increment only need be granted plus a personal pay of rupee 1/- per mensem which will be absorbed in the next increment. The expenditure during the

current

current year should be met in the manner indicated in paragraph 7 of your letter No. 6644-Encl.4 / 209-1929 dated the 25th August 1930.

Sd/- S.C.Gupta,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

A t t e s t e d.

Sd. S.N. Chatterjee / ~~S.C.Biswas~~,

Superintendent,

Foreign and Political Department.

I

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No. 209/29

Serial No. 19

Draft ~~Letter~~ Memorandum ~~Telegram~~

Class for telegrams: Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line†

*Only for Foreign Telegrams.

†Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on | Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures

(Code word for telegrams.)

No. 9870 Dated 29.12.1930.

Encl: Copy.

To

A copy of the marginally noted ---

memorandum is forwarded to the ---------

District Magistrate,

Commissioner of Police,

Pay and Accounts Officer,

Audit Officer, Experiments,

Civil and Military Station, Bangalore,

for information, in continuation of the endorsement from this Residency No. 2728 dated the 27th March, 1930.

Secretary to the Resident.

T. N. Thangavelu
Mudaliar
11-12-1930.

*Memorandum No. F. 23(17)-E/29, dated the 22nd March, 1930, from the Government of India in the Foreign and Political Department. (Revised)

R.N.17. (F)

P. ~~D. 6 I~~

x R.N.5.

(R)

RO 19/XII
Todkar
30/11

Encl
o/c
20/12

S. 5.

MGIPC—S1—288(31)DCF—(G-348)—11-7-29—50,000 Pads.

II

DEPARTMENT. File No. 209

BRANCH. Serial No. 29 20

Draft ~~Letter~~ Memorandum ~~Telegram~~

Class for telegrams: Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line† — *Only for Foreign Telegrams. †Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on | Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading: S. N. 10.

Case or Diary No. (H)

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures

(Code word for telegrams.)

Memorandum No. F. 13(17)-E/29, dated the 1st December, 1930, from the ---- Government of India in the Foreign and Political Department.

S. N. 18.

P. U. & II

S. N. & 11/12

(I) (J)

No. 9869 Dated 29.12.1930

Enc: 6.

To

A copy of the marginally noted memorandum, together with a copy of a letter No. 6644/209-1929, dated the 25th August, 1930, and enclosures, --- referred to therein is forwarded to the

District Magistrate,

Commissioner of Police,

Pay and Accounts Officer,

Audit Officer, Experiments,

Civil and Military Station, Bangalore,

for information, (with reference to his endorsement, dated the 5th April, 1930. letters Nos. 494/A/30, dated the 4th April, ~~1165/A/30~~ 30th August, 1930.)

for Secretary to the Resident.

T. N. Thangavelu Mudaliar 11-12-1930.

Ext 20/12

S. 5.

MGIPC—S1—768(G1)DCF—(G-348) -11-7-29—50,000 Pads.

W/5/1

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Pay and Accounts Officer,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

S.N.16
W

Please furnish for reference a copy of your memorandum No.7591/209 of 29, dated the 27th September, 1930 to the Government of India, Foreign and Political Department, regarding Revision of Pay and grant of advance increments to the Police Force, Bangalore.

Message received at 3-10- P.M.

8.1.31

DEPARTMENT. File No.

BRANCH. Serial No.

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

Class for telegrams: Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line†

*Only for Foreign Telegrams.

†Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on | Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures /

S.N.21

(Code word for telegrams.)

Letter No. 7591, dated the 27th ------ September, 1930, to the Political ----- Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign and Political Department.

S.N.16

No. 216 Dated 13th Jan 1931.

To

A copy of the marginally noted letter is forwarded to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, with reference to his telephone message dated the 8th January, 1931.

Sd. R.S. Budd.

Secretary to the Resident.

T.N. Thangavelu
Attendant
9-1-31.

Exd.
9/1/31.

S. 5.

MGIPC—S1—1331(G1)DCF—(G-502)—17-8-28—40,000 Pads.

Copy of letter No.P.B.318 dated 27th April 1931 from the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore to the Commissioner of Police, C & M Station, Bangalore.

Subject:- Fixation of initial pay in the revised scale of pay sanctioned for the Police Force.

I have the honour to invite a reference to the Government of India, Foreign and Political Department substituted memorandum No.F.13 (17)-E/29, dated 22-3-1930 giving effect to the revision of pay of the Police Force from 1-3-1930. The Government of India originally sanctioned the revision to take effect from 1-4-1930. As the revised Fundamental Rule 22 had come into force with effect from 18-3-1930 and the initial pay of the members of the Police Force was proposed to be fixed at the stage next above the substantive pay they were drawing on 1-4-1930, which was not permissible under the new rules, the Government of India were addressed to accord special sanction to the fixation of pay under the old Fundemantal Rule 22. Instead of doing this they ordered the sanction to take effect from 1-3-1930 so that the provisions of the revised Fundemental Rule 22 which came into effect only from 18-3-1930 may not be infringed and that the old Fundemental Rule 22 may automatically apply. The initial pay provisionally allowed under the revision pending receipt of Government of India Orders was therefore examined and the pay fixed requires modification or further action in the following cases as explained below:-

S.L.Vinayaga Mudaliar - Confidential Assistant. His pay on 1-3-1930 in the old scale Rs.55-5-110 was Rs.95/- His usual increment was due on 1-4-1930 when his pay in the old scale would be Rs.100/-. He elected to comd under the new scale from 1-4-30. Ordinarily under the revised Fundamental Rule 22 he would not be eligible for the next stage raising his pay on 1-4-1930 to Rs.103/- unless change of duties or responsibilities were involved, But under para 9 of the Hon'ble the Resident's letter No.8485, dated 7-10-1929 to the Government of India stating that the work of this post should be done by a Sub - Inspector and was even more onerous and responsible than that of a Sub Inspector, he could be allowed the next higher stage of Rs.103/-

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No. 24

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

No. 3713 Dated 22-5-1931.

Class for telegrams: Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line†

*Only for Foreign Telegrams.

†Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on	Issued on
1st Reminder	
2nd Reminder	
3rd Reminder	

Heading :

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures S.N.23/A

(~~Code word for telegrams.~~)

Encls to P.W.B.

To

The Pay and Accounts Officer,

Bangalore.

Subject:- Fixation of initial pay in the revised scale of pay sanctioned for the Police Force.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P.B. 318, dated the 27th April, 1931, I am directed to inform you that the Hon'ble the Resident is pleased to declare that the work of Mr. R. L. Venugopa Mudaliar, ~~the~~ Confidential Assistant to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, involves duties and responsibilities of greater importance than those that can be carried out by a clerk.

I have etc.,

Sd/- G. Kirkbride
Capt.
Secretary to the Resident.

Copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, for information, with reference to his letter No. 684/A/31, dated the 5th May, 1931-.

Ed. 21.5.31

S.N.23

P.W.B

S. 5.

MGIPC—S1—1331(G1)DCF—(G-502)—17-8-28—40,000 Pads.

T. N. Thangavelu Mudaliar.
14-5-1931